UDC: 33.332

МЕСТО АГРОПРОМЫШЛЕННЫХ КЛАСТЕРОВ В СИСТЕМЕ ЦИФРОВИЗАЦИИ ЭКОНОМИКИ РФ

Бондаренко Наталия Евгеньевна,
кандидат экономических наук, доцент кафедры экономической
теории, РЭУ им. Г.В. Плеханова, Москва, Россия.
РИНЦ SPIN-код: 5689-0101 / ORCID: 0000-0002-9301-8642
E-mail: vita06@inbox.ru

Максимова Татьяна Павловна,
кандидат экономических наук, доцент кафедры экономической
теории, РЭУ им. Г.В. Плеханова, Москва, Россия.
РИНЦ SPIN-код: 8289-7692 / ORCID: 0000-0003-3536-9062
E-mail: tpmaksimova@mail.ru

Аннотация. На сегодняшний день, агропромышленный комплекс является одним из приоритетных направлений развития экономики РФ. Являясь системообразующей отраслью в хозяйстве страны и социальной жизни общества, он отличается размерами материально-технической базы, масштабами и широтой размещения производства, количеством занятых. Цель исследования: определить место агропромышленных кластеров в системе цифровизации экономической системы Российской Федерации, обозначить роль агропромышленного комплекса в решении стратегических задач, поставленных руководством страны. Методы исследования: авторами проведено большое исследование зарубежных и отечественных научных работ, посвященных институциональному и кластерному анализу, а также принципам локализации и регионализации аграрного сектора экономики. Результаты исследования: в статье подчеркивается необходимость повышения эффективности отечественного агропромышленного комплекса посредством внедрения цифровых технологий и формирования единого информационного пространства в рамках агропромышленных кластеров, направленных на повышение конкурентоспособности страны, улучшение инвестиционной привлекательности регионов, усиление продовольственной безопасности страны. В заключении авторы установили, что агропромышленные кластеры являются наиболее эффективной формой взаимодействия хозяйствующих субъектов в российском аграрном секторе за счет создания синергетического эффекта посредством взаимодействия трех институциональных секторов – науки, бизнеса и государства. Предложены основные направления и формы взаимодействия субъектов АПК, с учетом Государственной программы развития сельского хозяйства и регулирования рынков сельскохозяйственной продукции, сырья и продовольствия на 2013-2020 годы, а также Прогноза научно-технологического развития агропромышленного комплекса РФ до 2030 года.

Ключевые слова: агропромышленный комплекс, агропромышленные кластеры, интеграция хозяйствующих субъектов, импортозамещение, цифровая экономика.

THE PLACE OF AGRO-INDUSTRIAL CLUSTERS IN THE SYSTEM OF DIGITALIZATION OF THE RUSSIAN ECONOMY

Nataliya E. Bondarenko,

Candidate of economic Sciences,
associate Professor of economic theory,
Plekhanov Russian University of Economic, Moscow, Russian Federation,
SPIN code: 5689-0101 / ORCID: 0000-0002-9301-8642
E-mail: vita06@inbox.ru

Tatyana P. Maksimova,

Candidate of economic Sciences,
associate Professor of economic theory,
Plekhanov Russian University of Economic, Moscow, Russian Federation,
SPIN code: 8289-7692 / ORCID: 0000-0003-3536-9062
E-mail: tpmaksimova@mail.ru

Abstract. *Today, the agro-industrial complex is one of the priority areas for the development of the Russian economy. Being a system-forming industry in the country's economy and the social life of society, it is distinguished by the size of its material and technical base, the scale and breadth of the distribution of production, and the number of employees. The purpose of the study: to determine the place of agro-industrial clusters in the system of digitalization of the economic system of the Russian Federation, to identify the role of the agro-industrial complex in solving the strategic tasks set by the country's leadership. Research methods: the authors conducted a large study of foreign and domestic scientific papers on institutional and cluster analysis, as well as the principles of localization and regionalization of the agricultural sector of the economy. The results of the study: the article emphasizes the need to improve the efficiency of domestic agriculture through the introduction of digital technologies and the formation of a single information space in the framework of agro-industrial clusters aimed at improving the country's competitiveness, improvement of investment attractiveness of regions, strengthening the country's food security. In conclusion, the authors found that agro-industrial clusters are the most effective form of interaction of economic entities in the Russian agricultural sector by creating a synergistic effect through the interaction of three institutional sectors-science, business and government. The main directions and forms of interaction of subjects of agriculture, taking into account the State program of development of agriculture and regulation of markets of agricultural products, raw materials and food for 2013-2020, and also the Forecast of scientific and technological development of agroindustrial complex of the Russian Federation till 2030 are offered.*

Keywords: agro-industrial complex, agro-industrial clusters, integration of economic entities, import substitution, digital economy.

Introduction

In the current economic context, the introduction of innovations plays a key role in the process of production, and digital technologies are becoming an integral part of all the sectors and spheres of economy. The idea of digital economy is supported and developed by scientists, politicians, public figures and governments of many countries, because it helps to raise efficiency of production, science, education, public sector activities [3, p.40]. The modern interpretation of the notion “digital economy” takes into account application of digital technologies in all the branches

and spheres of activity. Given this, formation of digital economy should become one of the breakthrough courses of Russia's development in the coming years.

Along with that, against the background of a negative geopolitical situation, falling prices for energy resources, development and stimulation of Russian production becomes more and more significant for sustainable economic development of Russian economy [24, p.21]. Due to depletion of traditional sources for development, at the present time, development of the agro-industrial complex is especially important, and higher efficiency of its functioning and continuous introduction of up-to-date research and development is its important component [5, p.64].

The authors suggest considering agro-industrial clusters as an effective form of economic entities interaction, allowing to enhance food security of Russian economy, improve investment prospects of the branch, as well as to use the achievements of scientific and technological advance and modern digital technologies with the aim of forming the common information space within the cluster.

Scientific bases of the research

Theoretical and methodological approaches are based on studying the works by foreign and Russian researchers and analysts, including the methodology of an institutional approach and cluster analysis. Development of the general cluster approach in economics was influenced significantly by the theory of regional development by J. Thünen, W. Launhardt, A. Weber, A. Lösch, the theory of regional specialization by A. Smith, D. Ricardo, E. Heckscher and B. Ohlin etc. A. Marshall's contribution to creating the theoretical basis of the cluster theory is widely recognized, who studied peculiarities of geographical regionalization and concentration of production.

Nowadays, separate aspects of the cluster theory are the research subject of an Economics Nobel Laureate, P. Krugman. The study of clusters and cluster policy is the work of such foreign scientists as Koh, S.C.L. [15, p.818], DeWitt, T. [8, p.290], Cortright, J. [6], Gashawbeza W. Bekele and Randall W. Jackson [11, p.1], Glaeser, E.L. and Gottlieb, J.D. [14, p.983], Shakya, M. [23], Maguire K., Davies A. [18], Wolman, H. and Hincapie, D. [28, p.135], Ketels, C.H.M. and Memedovic, O. [16, p.375], Aziz, K.Ab [1, p.349]. The publications on the cluster theory by Granberg A.G., Kleiner G.B., Mirolubov T.V. etc. can be distinguished in Russia. The given issues should not be considered brand new for the agrarian sphere of national economy, either. In Russia, as early as in 1920, B.S. Yastremsky studied clusters from the point of view of a statistical approach using the example of economy management in the agrarian sphere of the economy in his work "Relationship between the elements of peasant economy in 1917 and 1919" [30, p.20].

In different years, Knipovich B.N., Chaianov A.V., Kondratyev N.D., Skvortsov A.I., Chelintsev A.N. etc. contributed to developing the theory and methodology of basic principles of regionalization and specialization (and, basically, the main principles of clusters formation) in the agrarian sphere of Russian economy. The subject of clusters in their current interpretation became the subject matter of analysis in the papers by a number of Russian academic economists. The publications by Ableev A.M., Demichev V.V., Frolova O.A. [10, p.129], Gryadov S.I. etc., were devoted to different aspects of agro-industrial clusters development. The monograph by researchers of the All-Russian Institute of Agrarian Problems and Informatics named after A.A. Nikonov is devoted to current aspects of placement and specialization of agro-industrial production [12]. Thus, it can be said that the issues of clustering the agro-industrial complex were studied indirectly alongside with the origin and development of the cluster theory on the whole.

Task setting and Methods

While writing the article, the authors applied such methods of research as an abstract-logical one, analysis and synthesis, economics and statistics analysis, methods of formal logic and formal modeling. Research papers by Russian and foreign researchers, materials of the Federal State Statistics Service of the RF, Ministry of Agriculture of the RF, normative legal documents of the

state administrative bodies, including the Program “Digital Economy of the Russian Federation”, “State Program for Development of Agriculture and Regulation of Agricultural Commodity Markets for 2013-2020”, “Forecast of scientific and technological development of the agro-industrial complex of the Russian Federation until 2030” etc. served as the information basis for the research. Considering the fact that formation of agro-industrial clusters is quite new to the Russian business practice, the authors used empirical material of the first successful practices of the cluster approach in the system of the agro-industrial complex, analyzing the advantages and potential opportunities of a model of cluster development in an innovative line.

Theoretical part.

The task of developing digital economy was set by the RF President V. Putin in the Address to the Federal Assembly on December 1, 2016, with the aim of improving the efficiency of economic branches, and, correspondingly, the country’s competitiveness and living standards by means of using digital technologies [26, p.264]. According to the Address, in 2017, the Program “Digital Economy of the Russian Federation” was elaborated, that involves the development of:

- the markets and branches of economy where interaction of certain entities is carried out (suppliers, consumers of goods, works and services);
- the frameworks and techniques where competencies are formed for developing markets and branches of economy;
- the environment for developing frameworks and techniques and efficient interaction of market participants and economic branches that covers regulatory control, information infrastructure, personnel and information security.

The program is focused on the fact that effective development of markets and branches in digital economy is possible only if there are developed frameworks, technologies, institutional and infrastructural environments [7, p.79].

Successful experience of the countries that have taken the path of intensive innovative development, is indicative of active use of a cluster model of organizing economy. Those agro-industrial clusters are becoming more and more timely that are aimed at creating such territorial associations where the goods most effective for the given territories will be produced, ensuring investment inflow and food security [2, p.9]. Clusters are dynamic structures because they have a high degree of activity and adaptability in the first place, their formation speeds up processes in particular branches and steps up competition in the global market.

In the context of the general policy of import substitution and stimulation of small and medium business development, the cluster strategy in the Russian AIC gains ground, since it makes it possible to: first of all, create a new long-term paradigm of agro-industrial complex development on its basis; secondly, have a positive impact on development and investment attractiveness of regions; thirdly, ensure food security for the country.

The interaction of economic entities of agro-industrial clusters under the conditions of digital economy should be based on the current achievements of scientific and technological progress, use of information technologies, formation of common information space within the cluster [9, p.16].

When studying the issues of forming digital economy, it is necessary to pay attention to the degree of integration of branches into digital economy, their adaptability and readiness for innovative and digital transformations [13, p.38].

Thus, the following courses of forming digital economy on the basis of automation can be considered within agro-industrial clusters: engineering and manufacturing processes; system of management at all levels, work places, logistics, relationship of the AIC entities with partners, consumers, investors and public authorities.

It should be noted that economic entities included in the AIC have a different degree of readiness for activities in the context of digital economy. Thus, only 5-10% of enterprises are

classified as enterprises with a high degree of readiness. The enterprises capable of such adaptation may include medium and large agricultural enterprises having material, financial and labor resources for using modern information technologies. Such agricultural organizations amounted to at least 70-80% of their total number in the last five years [20, p.90]. At the same time, there are enterprises not capable of independent adaptation. As a rule, they include small farming and other enterprises of the population. They need substantial assistance in adapting to digital economy: from teaching computer skills to purchasing digital equipment.

It should be pointed out that the specific character of interaction of economic entities of the AIC is associated with technological peculiarities of production, its length and dependence on climate and environmental conditions, territorial dispersion, limited transportability and perishable properties of many types of products.

The interaction is carried out in the form of cooperation and integration: in horizontal line – within the branch, in vertical line – technological interaction of different branches; when selling or purchasing goods, rendering services; consulting commodity producers, training of personnel etc. [4, p.154]. All the listed interactions are based on information and communication interactions, that cover all scopes of activity, serving as information interactions between agricultural organizations, producers of means of production for agriculture, enterprises for processing and marketing agricultural raw materials in order to provide them with the necessary information, playing a major role in managerial decisions and promoting innovative development of the agro-industrial complex.

Information and communication relations between the AIC entities should be formed in the common information space of the agro-industrial cluster. In the authors' opinion, it is the efficient cluster policy in the Russian AIC that can raise innovative and digital adaptation of all the groups of agricultural entities by means of solving the following priority tasks [27, p.81].

Firstly, when conducting a cluster policy, development of a competitive market is regarded as of paramount importance. In this respect, state initiatives in the cluster policy are directed at supporting strong and creative companies in the first place and creating a productive environment in which, in their turn, weaker and more under-developed firms would be able to increase their competitiveness.

Secondly, the cluster policy pays key attention to analyzing local markets and companies on the basis of not the inherited factors of production, but the created ones in the first place. A microeconomic approach in the cluster policy allows taking into account the peculiarities of development and competitive advantages at the level of local territories and elaborate effective targeted programs of accelerating development and raising competitiveness of companies.

Thirdly, operating cluster policy is based on organizing interaction between state institutions, business and academic institutions with the aim of coordinating efforts on increasing the innovativeness of production, which promotes higher effectiveness in work.

Fourthly, implementation of the cluster policy is directed at stimulating development, increasing innovative potential and involvement in digital economy of small and medium enterprises in the first place.

Results.

The most obvious features of agro-industrial clusters can now be observed at the meso-level of certain regions. For instance, the agro-industrial cluster in the Tomsk Region is now considered one of the most “mature” ones, that combines integration of certain types of agricultural specialization with introduction of advanced digital technologies. The agro-industrial clusters in the Novgorod, Kemerovo, Tula and other regions are emerging. The cluster model in the Tomsk Region is considered by the state institutions of the region as a variant of enhanced capabilities for integrating different types of agricultural activities and different business forms. Thus, within the framework of the concept of the innovative territorial center “INO Tomsk”, a cluster of technologies for processing renewable natural resources was formed which included 74 economic

entities in 2018. And a dairy cluster is being formed within the framework of the strategy of social-economic development of the region: in particular, an agreement was signed between the Tomsk Region Administration and a Chinese-Russian company in the sphere of developing the agro-industrial complex, including the investor's intentions to establish large livestock breeding dairy complexes for the period till 2030. The peculiarities of the model of forming clusters in the given region include the attempts to create in practice a local system of interactions: state institutions (regional authorities) – business (small and medium forms of business) – scientific institutions (universities and research institutes) [17, p.112; 22, p.200].

A similar model of interaction and cooperation of three institutional sectors – science, business and authorities is described in scientific literature as a “triple helix model”, which plays a major role in forming mature clusters and achieving innovative effects in them [21, p.712].

A territorial agro-industrial cluster “Biocomplex” is created in the Omsk Region, where the main activities are focused on three-fold increase in value of grain by means of diversifying production and processing of grain. In this regard, the tasks are set of increasing the share of innovative products with a maximum number of processing stages, involving small business in modern high-technology business-processes, introducing non-waste technologies and recycling, solving ecological tasks and problems, creating new high-productive work places and modern infrastructure within the cluster, commercializing research and development and increasing investment inflow in the region [25, p.1; 29, pp.54-57].

It makes sense to propose an organizational-structural model on the basis of developing the system of contractual relationship in vertical direction as a synergetic effect from combining small and medium business with large agricultural holdings: between large agricultural holdings as growth points, small and medium business. In horizontal direction: development of cooperative activities and improvement of the existing cooperation variants can ensure the effect of “coupling” and survival in the field of economic activities of small and medium business [19, p.3].

Conclusion.

Based on the results of the research, we can draw the following generalizing conclusions:

1. interaction of economic entities of the AIC in the context of digital economy with the aim of improving production efficiency and sales of products should be based on the current achievements of scientific and technological advance, use of information technologies, formation of the common information space within agro-industrial clusters;
2. agro-industrial clusters can be considered as an effective form of interaction between economic entities of the AIC, based on the cooperation between production companies, educational and scientific organizations, public authorities; between small, medium and large enterprises; between already existing companies and the infrastructure stimulating emergence of new enterprises within the cluster;
3. development of innovative agro-industrial clusters in the context of digital economy is largely determined by the effectiveness of the government cluster policy, capable of raising digital adaptation of all the groups of agricultural entities.

References:

1. Aziz K. Ab., Norhashim M. Cluster-based policy making: Assessing performance and sustaining competitiveness. *Review of Policy Research*. 2008, 25 (4), pp. 349-375. DOI: 10.1111/j.1541-1338.2008.00336.x
2. Bondarenko N.E., Maksimova T.P., Zhdanova O.A. Agro-industrial clusters: opportunities for innovative development and financing. *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*, 2016, Vol. 21, no.6, p. 9. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=29447329> (In Russian)
3. Cherednichenko O.V. Digital economy in a historical coordinate system. *Innovation and investment*, 2018, no. 3, pp. 40-44. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=37098968> (In Russian)

4. Cherednichenko O.V. A retrospective look at the place and role of the modern industrial revolution. *Economics and entrepreneurship*, 2017, no. 11 (88), pp. 150-155. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=32407514> (In Russian)
5. Ustyuzhanina E.V., Dubovik M.V. *Conceptual aspects of the development of the Russian economy: challenges and priorities*. Monograph. Moscow, KNORUS Publ., 2019. (In Russian)
6. Cortright J. "Making sense of clusters: regional competitiveness and economic development". Discuss. Pap. Brookings Inst. Metrop. Policy Program, 2006.
7. Ustyuzhanina E.V., Dubovik M.V. *Development benchmarks of Russian economy*. Monograph. Moscow, KNORUS Publ., 2018, 256 p. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=32698913> (In Russian)
8. DeWitt T., Giunipero L.C., Melton H.L. Clusters and supply chain management: The Amish experience. *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, 2006, no. 36 (4), pp. 289-308. DOI: 10.1108/09600030610672055
9. Dubovik M.V. From creative clusters to a creative economy. *Bulletin of Economic Integration*, 2011, no. 8, pp. 14-22. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=16539483> (In Russian)
10. Frolova O.A. Agro-industrial clusters: Russian model. *Vestnik NGIEI (Bulletin of NGIEI)*, 2011, no. 2, vol. 1, pp.129-136. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=18256535> (In Russian)
11. Gashawbeza W. Bekele and Randall W. Jackson Theoretical Perspectives on Industry Clusters. *RESEARCH PAPER*, 2006, no. 5, pp. 1-26.
12. Goncharov V.D., Khoruzhiy V.I., Koteev S.V. *Placement and specialization of agro-industrial production in Russia*. Moscow, VIAPI Publ., 2015, pp. 89-90. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=24414184> (In Russian)
13. Goryainova L.V. Public-private partnership as a natural form of transformation of the state and firms. Economics, statistics and informatics. *Bulletin of UMO*, 2014, no. 4, pp. 35-40. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=21820748> (In Russian)
14. Glaeser E.L., Gottlieb J.D. The wealth of cities: Agglomeration economies and spatial equilibrium in the United States. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 2009, no. 47 (4), pp. 983-1028. DOI: 10.1257/jel.47.4.983
15. Karaev A., Koh S.C.L., Szamosi L.T. The cluster approach and SME competitiveness: A review. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 2007, no. 18 (7), pp. 818-835. DOI: 10.1108/17410380710817273
16. Ketels C.H.M., Memedovic O. From clusters to cluster-based economic development. *International Journal of Technological Learning, Innovation and Development*, 2008, no. 1 (3), pp. 375-392. DOI: 10.1504/IJTLID.2008.019979
17. Kuznetsov V.I., Esina A.R., Svirchevsky V.D., Bystrov A.V. et al. *The results of operational monitoring of the socio-economic development of Russia and the constituent entities of the Russian Federation*. Monograph. Moscow, 2016, Vol. 17. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=26992338> (In Russian)
18. Maguire K., Davies A. *Competitive Regional Clusters and National Policy Approaches*. OECD Review of Regional Innovation, 2007.
19. Maksimova T.P., Bondarenko N.E., Zhdanova O.A. Transformation of farms in the agrarian economy of the Russian Federation in modern conditions. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, 2017, Vol. 16, Issue 2, pp. 3. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=31688543> (In Russian)
20. Orekhov S.A., Kuleshov S.V. *Problems of the transformation of the Russian economy*. Monograph, Moscow, 2010, pp. 485. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=19688697> (In Russian)

21. Osipov V.S., Skryl T.V. The Strategic directions of the modern Russian economic. *Development. International Business Management*, 2016, Vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 710-717. DOI: 10.3923/ibm.2016.710.717
22. Osipov V.S., Skryl T.V., Blinova E.A., Kosov M.E., Zeldner A.G., Alekseev A.N. Institutional analysis of public administration system. *International Journal of Applied Business and Economic Research*, 2017, Vol. 15, no. 15, ppp. 193-203. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=29854878> (In Russian)
23. Shakya M. *Clusters for Competitiveness: A Practical Guide and Policy Implications for Developing Cluster Initiatives*, SSRN Publ., 2009. (In Russian)
24. Skryl T.V. The effect of losses from the imposition of sanctions in the global economy. *Bulletin of the International Institute of Economics and Law*, 2014, no. 2 (15), pp. 20-25. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=22959935> (In Russian)
25. Sorokina N.Yu., Gubarev R.V., Bondarenko N.E., Maksimova T.P. An assessment of the level of economic integration of Russia's regions within the system of economic security. *Espacios*, 2018, Vol. 39, no. 27. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=35719447> (In Russian)
26. Sidorov V.A. *The phenomenon of market economy: from the origins to the present day. Monograph*. Krasnodar, Research Institute of Economics of the Southern Federal District Publ., 2016, 496 p. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=25947627> (In Russian)
27. Gromyko V.V. The formation of the new economy of Russia in the context of globalization. Monograph, Moscow, MAX Press Publ., 2009, 244 p. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=19897685> (In Russian)
28. Wolman H., Hincapie D. Clusters and Cluster-Based Development Policy. *Economic Development Quarterly*, 2015, no. 29 (2), pp. 135-149. DOI: 10.1177/0891242413517136
29. Zhdanova O.A. *Innovation financing using collective investment tools*. Monograph. Moscow, 2013, 144 p. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=21766669> (In Russian)
30. Zhuravlyova G.P. *A new course in economic theory*. Publishing house TSU them. Derzhavina, 2010, 466 p. URL: <https://elibrary.ru/item.asp?id=19912559> (In Russian)

Submitted: 07 September 2019

Accepted: 15 October 2019

Published: 20 October 2019